

# SIERRA VISTA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE: REGULAR MEETING AGENDA: NOVEMBER 5, 2019

**MEETING LOCATION:** 

City of Sierra Vista: Public Works Bld.

Main Conference Room 401 Giulio Cesare Ave. Sierra Vista, AZ 85635

To attend the meeting by telephone: Call 1-415-655-0001. Meeting #287 957 271 Enter # for Attendee ID. For Arizona Relay Service use 1-800-367-8939, or dial 7-1-1. **MEETING DATE AND TIME:** 

November 5, 2019

10:30 AM

FOR MORE INFORMATION OR TO REQUEST ACCOMMODATION FOR SPECIAL NEEDS:

Website: www.svmpo.org

Email: SVMPO@SierraVistaAZ.gov Administrator Phone: 520-515-8525

#### SVMPO TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC)

One or more members may participate via teleconference

Chair: Matt McLachlan, Dir. Community Development, City of Sierra Vista

Vice-Chair: Jackie Watkins, P.E., Cochise County Engineer

Designated Alternate: Brad Simmons, P.E., Civil Engineer Sharon Flissar, P.E., Director Public Works, City of Sierra Vista

Member: Daniel Coxworth, AICP, Cochise County Planning Director

Designated Alternate: Paul Esparza, AICP, Planning Manager

Member: Jing Luo, P.E., City of Sierra Vista Engineer

Member: Jim Halterman, Town of Huachuca City, Public Works Supervisor

Designated Alternate: Matthew Williams, Town Manager

Member Mike Normand, Vista Transit Administrator

Member: Mark Hoffman, Arizona Department of Transportation

STAFF:

Member:

SVMPO Administrator: Karen L. Lamberton, AICP

Members of the Public: Please let the Administrator know <u>before</u> the meeting if you wish to speak on a specific Agenda Item.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL
- 2. ACCEPTANCE OF THE AGENDA

#### 3. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

This is the time set aside for the public to speak to the TAC. Speakers are limited to a three-minute presentation and may also submit written comments for the Board files. The TAC may not discuss or take formal action on items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.01 (H) action taken as a result of public comment is limited to responding to any criticism, directing staff to review the matter, or scheduling the matter for discussion at a later date.

#### 4. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

Action: Approval of the TAC Regular Meeting Minutes of September 3, 2019.

#### OLD BUSINESS: PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION

#### 5. SVMPO LEDGER

The TAC will review the current funding ledger for the SVMPO region. The current ledger shows available Obligation Authority for FY20 in the amount of \$30,000.

This is an information item.

#### 6. TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP): 2020-2024 TIP

**Action:** The TAC will review the 2020-2024 TIP and discuss the project status of all active projects in FY20. A status update on SVMPO funded project activities will be given by each member jurisdiction: Adaptive Signal Control; North Garden/Fry Blvd Design; Emergency Signal Project; Avendia Esculea Extension Hydrology Study; LIDAR flight and Transit activities.

This is a discussion and possible action item.

#### **NEW BUSINESS: PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION**

#### 7. 2020 TAC SCHEDULE

Action: The TAC will discuss and set tentative TAC meetings for the year 2020.

This is a discussion and possible action item.

#### INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/DIRECTION TO SVMPO ADMINISTRATOR MAY BE GIVEN

#### 8. JURISDICTION TRAFFIC COUNT PROGRAMS

The Administrator will report on actions the MPO is taking to update traffic count data related to the SVMPO region. The TAC will share who their staff leads are on taking counts, information about their traffic counting program and their needs for training or data related to jurisdiction or regional traffic counts.

This is a discussion item.

#### 9. SOUTHCENTRAL DISTRICT REPORT

ADOT and/or the Administrator will report on the status of current and potential ADOT projects within the region. This item is the time for the TAC to discuss issues or raise questions related to

projects under the jurisdiction of ADOT.

This is an information item.

#### 10. JURISDICTION PROJECT UPDATES & ANNOUNCEMENTS

This is the time set aside for the TAC Member to share information about member jurisdiction projects and current events/announcements. Attendees to various state and national conferences (Western Planner; AzPA, ICOET, AMPO) will share highlights/ lessons learned and will discussed presentations made at the Az Rural Summit.

This is an information item.

#### 11. UPCOMING SCHEDULED MEETINGS and ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

North Garden/Fry Blvd. Improvement Project Open House – November 13, 2019 SVMPO Executive Board Mtg – November 21, 2019 MPO Planners Mtg – December 5, 2019 MPO Directors Mtg – December 10, 2019 BPAC Mtg - January 28, 2020 at 10 a.m.

- The Administrator will brief the TAC on the pending revisions to the SVMPO By-Laws and Agreement with the City of Sierra Vista.
- The Administrator will brief the TAC on Work Program and Annual Budget Changes
- The Administrator will brief the TAC on in-kind match requirements and forms for FY2020.
- The Administrator will update the TAC on the status of the SVMPO On-Call solicitation and request member jurisdiction representation on the selection committee (TAC members or other assigned jurisdiction staff).
- The Administrator will update the TAC on staff changes.

#### 12. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

#### 13. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting room is accessible to those individuals with mobility impairments. Individuals with disabilities who require special accommodations or have limited English proficiency and are in need of an interpreter may contact Karen Lamberton at 520.515.8525 at least 72 hours before the meeting time to request accommodations.

Si necesita acomodaciones especiales o un interprete para esta conferencia, debe pnerse en contacto con Karen Lamberton al numero 520.515.8525 por lo menos setenta y dos (72) hora antes de la conferencia.



### SIERRA VISTA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC)

## MEETING MINUTES: SEPTEMBER 3, 2019

#### **MEETING LOCATION:**

Public Works, Pete Castro Center Main Conference Room 401 Giulio Cesare Avenue Sierra Vista, AZ 85635

To attend the meeting by telephone: Call 1-415-655-0001. Meeting # 287 957 271 Enter # for Attendee ID. For Arizona Relay Service use 1-800-367-8939, or dial 7-1-1.

#### **MEETING DATE AND TIME:**

September 3, 2019 10:30 A.M.

### FOR MORE INFORMATION OR TO REQUEST ACCOMMODATION FOR SPECIAL NEEDS:

Website: www.svmpo.org

Email: SVMPO@SierraVistaAZ.gov Administrator Phone: 520-515-8525

#### **SVMPO TAC MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:**

Chair: Matt McLachlan, Director Community Development, City of Sierra Vista

Vice-Chair: Jackie Watkins, P.E., Cochise County Engineer

Member: Sharon Flissar, P.E., Director Public Works, City of Sierra Vista

Member: Jing Luo, P.E. City of Sierra Vista

Member: Daniel Coxworth, AICP, Cochise County Planning Director

Member: Matthew Williams, Town of Huachuca City/present for Jim Halterman.

Member: Mike Normand, Vista Transit Administrator

Member: Mark Hoffman, Arizona Department of Transportation

SVMPO TAC MEMBERS ABSENT: ALL MEMBERS PRESENT

#### STAFF:

SVMPO Administrator: Karen L. Lamberton, AICP

SVMPO Intern: Catarina Porter

#### **OTHERS PRESENT:**

Rossio Aravjo for Jeremy Moore, Assistant District Engineer, ADOT Mark Henige, ADOT LPA Section Tricia Gerrodette, Citizen

#### 1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

Chair McLachlan called the meeting to order at 10: 31 a.m. Administrator Lamberton took roll call and confirmed that a quorum was in place.

#### 2. ACCEPTANCE OF THE AGENDA

The TAC reviewed the Agenda and the TAC had no changes to the Agenda as presented. A Motion was made to accept the Agenda as presented by Member Hoffman, seconded by Member Williams and unanimously passed.

**MOTION:** Member Hoffman **SECOND:** Member Williams

**ACTION: PASSED UNANIMOUSLY 8/o** 

#### 3. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

This is the time set aside for the public to comment. Members of the Board may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.01 (H) action taken as a result of public comment is limited to directing staff to study the matter, responding to any criticism or scheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

Chair McLachlan acknowledged Ms. Gerrodette and asked if she had any comments to present to the TAC. She indicated that she did not. No public comments were made at this meeting.

#### **4. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES** (for June 18, 2019 TAC Meeting)

Chair McLachlan asked members if they had reviewed the previous meeting minutes and if there were any needed corrections. Hearing none, Member Flissar moved to accept the meeting minutes for the TAC Regular Meeting of June 18,2019; Member Normand seconded. The motion passed, unanimously.

**MOTION:** Member Flissar **SECOND:** Member Normand

**ACTION: PASSED UNANIMOUSLY 8/o** 

#### **OLD BUSINESS: PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION**

#### 5. FY20/FY21 SVMPO Work Program

The TAC reviewed the August 16, 2019 approved Administrative Amendment to the FY20/FY21 Work Program. Administrator Lamberton explained that this document guides the work activities of the MPO and advised that FHWA approved this first Administrative Amendment on August 20th. Additional amendments are expected when the federal fiscal year ends in October – it is expected that the FTA numbers will be adjusted again later this year. At this time, the SVMPO has a balanced budget and fully programmed activities for both Fiscal Years 21 and 22.

Administrator Lamberton stated that the priorities for projects in the next two to four months will be getting out the consultant contracts for the pending Long-Range Transportation Plan; Short-Range Transit Plan and the Town's Roadway Assessment.

TAC members were provided with hard copies of the currently active Work Program and Budget. Administrator Lamberton stated that the approved Work Program is also now available on the SVMPO website. Hearing no other questions on this item, Chair McLachlan moved to Item 6.

This was an informational item: No action was taken on this item.

#### 6. SVMPO LEDGER

Administrator Lamberton went over the current STBG ledger with the TAC. \$760,424 is available within the next couple of fiscal years for programing. This August 2019 version shows the Obligation Authority transfer from the STBG ledger that holds the Work program funds harmless. The loan amount, shown highlighted in the handout, has not yet been executed pending TAC action on potential future projects.

Chair McLachlan asked Administrator Lamberton for a reminder about how the Obligation Authority worked. Administrator Lamberton stated that federal funds are identified in each Federal re-authorization bill for future years. This amount shows up as appropriated funds for preliminary planning of future budget years. But then each year Congress actually decides what their real budget will be – this amount is what is actually obligated and available to spend. This is known as the Obligation Authority and varies from 100% of what was appropriated to some lesser amount. Administrator Lamberton stated she has seen this drop into the mid/low 80 percentile. At this time it is 94.7% of appropriate funds. However, one strategy that regional agencies can use is pool all their federal funding sources into a total and pull the amount of "loss" of funds off of whichever fund source has the most reserves left in it. The SVMPO has been keeping their full funding in planning funds and taking it from construction funds. When regional planning needs decline and more projects are moved towards construction in the TIP, the SVMPO can adjust which funding source we use to make up the congressionally set Obligation Authority "loss" of appropriated funds.

Chair McLachlan asked for clarification on how much was available in this very next fiscal year. Administrator Lamberton, after confirming with Member Hoffman, stated that without doing any shifting of funds about there was \$322,617 immediately available in FY20. Any funds not programmed by the TAC would then be added to the loan amount and shifted over into FY21 and FY22. Hearing no additional questions, Chair McLachlan moved to the next agenda item.

This was an information item: No action was taken on this item.

#### 7. TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP): 2020-2024 TIP

Administrator Lamberton advised that the 2020-2024 was approved by the SVMPO Board on June 27, 2019. The TAC was provided with the adopted TIP tables and Chair McLachlan asked for an update on all active projects.

**Pavement Condition Survey/City of Sierra Vista:** This project is completed. SVMPO is working with the City's Project Manager, Angela Dixon-Maher, to close this project out. A SVMPO Project Close-Out Form has been created and will be tested out for this project.

Adaptive Signal Control/City of Sierra Vista: This project is not yet into procurement. The City's Project Manager, Irene Zuniga, has been working with ADOT to have a sole source vender approved. Mark Henige, manager of the ADOT HISP funded projects, stated that he believed that there were outstanding items related to moving this item to procurement, but once those were received, procurement should occur no later than December 2019 of this year. Member Luo indicated surprise at the length of time to actually complete procurement and Mr. Henige advised it could be sooner, if everything goes smoothly with the sole source justification and procurement process. Member Flissar noted that that the City was working on providing cut sheets to ADOT. The project is still on schedule for FY20.

North Garden/Fry Blvd Design/City of Sierra Vista: A design contractor, EPS Group, has been selected and a fully executed contract is expected by the end of next week. A kick-off meeting will then be scheduled shortly thereafter – the City is working with the contractor to set this meeting concurrently with completing the contract with them. Vice-Chair Watkins asked about the scope of design and if the sections outside the approved Phase 1 for construction would trigger the requirements for completion within ten years. ADOT representatives, Member Hoffman and Mr. Henige, concurred that the other segments within the design scope fell under preliminary engineering and thus did not trigger the requirements to complete construction at this time. Member Flissar stated that the Fry Blvd. project was a City Council priority and would be moved forward as funds were available.

Mr. Henige, manager of the ADOT HURF Exchange projects, stated that a signed Draw#1 should be submitted for processing as per the IGA and current HURF Exchange draw schedule on file. Administrator Lamberton indicated that she believed the first Draw request had already occurred but would follow-up on that. She also stated that depending on action taken by the TAC on the next item, there would likely be a revised HURF Exchange project schedule provided. (SVMPO, with the City's Project Manager, submitted documentation on Draw #1, paid on 12-19-2018, and a drafted revised HURF Exchange schedule to the LPA Group on Sept. 6<sup>th</sup>). At this time, it appears that this project will be going to construction as scheduled in FY21 but will need to be monitored closely as the design process moves forward. Initiation of the construction phase should occur no later than May of 2020 to meet the FY21 deadlines.

Vista Transit activities/City of Sierra Vista: Vista Transit Administrator Mike Normand, advised the TAC that employee training was ongoing; Vista Transit has begun the process to initiate the recommendations of the Transit Asset Management Inventory and been buying updated signs and purchased several new benches; a scope of work for the upcoming repaving of the parking lot will be sent to Member Luo by Vista Transit. Vista Transit thanked the SVMPO Intern, Ms. Caterina Porter, for the completion of several reports required by FTA that meet their funding requirements.

Emergency Pre-Emption Signal/Town of Huachuca City: Member Williams reported that the HURF Exchange IGA has been fully executed; a second IGA regarding future maintenance of the project has been completed and is set for his Town Council on the 12<sup>th</sup>. Rick Engineering, under contract to the SVMPO, is providing the bid packet to the Town and has completed a preliminary scope of design report for Town and SVMPO review. This project is expected to go to bid in November and construction planned to begin early next year. The critical path is the purchase of the required poles – TAC members discussed the potential delays that might be caused by the extensive need for replacement poles due to Hurricane's on the east coast. Poles may take four to six months to procure. The project is on scheduled for construction in FY20.

This was an informational item: No action was taken on this item.

#### **NEW BUSINESS: PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION**

#### 8. TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP): CALL FOR PROJECTS

Administrator Lamberton indicated that she had received three applications for TIP funds, with a fourth one presented to the TAC by Vice-Chair Watkins for LIDAR flight support. These four

projects were presented to the TAC and the TAC discussed the merits of each. It was noted that a fifth project, for a proposal related to a County pathway project around the Palominos detention basin, was not eligible for HURF Exchange and as a result, was not cost effective to fund directly as a STBG project – it was estimated that costs would increase by about \$200,000 for a \$30,000 project. The LIDAR flight would be taken at 2 ft. contours. Cochise County would be the first County have total coverage at this level in the State. Administrator Lamberton also noted that she desired to keep a buffer available for the Town's Emergency Pre-Emption signal in case actual costs exceed estimates. It should be known by the next TAC meeting if additional funds are needed.

The proposed Theater Drive project appeared to need additional scoping for design criteria and cost estimated. The TAC recommended funding a scoping project for this proposal out of the Work Program. Administrator Lamberton agreed with this approach and stated that funds had been specifically set aside in the approved Work Program for scoping projects for the TIP. From a standpoint of time, she stated this proposal could queue up after contracting for the Long-Range Transportation Plan, Short Range Transit Plan and the Town's Roadway Assessment goes out. The TAC was agreeable to this proposal and directed the Administrator to pursue that action at the appropriate time. Administrator Lamberton advised that she would work with Member Coxworth and Chair McLachlan to develop a scope of work.

Vice-Chair Watkins, also mentioned a conceptual proposal for a County need to study the Moson Road corridor. A Feasibility study, with a public outreach component, would be helpful to scope out a design and developed preliminary cost estimates. Vice-Chair Watkins stated this might be a \$200,000 project. Administrator Lamberton noted that the scale of this project would be more than could be undertaken concurrent with the Long-Range Transportation Plan but would likely be justified, and fall out as a high regional priority in that Plan, for a FY21 or FY22 project.

The TAC summarized requested new TIP project costs as follows: \$50,000 in FY20 for North Garden project design; \$70,000 in FY21 for North Garden construction; \$50,000 in FY20 for support to the City's Hydrology Report; \$120,000 in FY20 for LIDAR flight; \$30,000 buffer for the Town's Pre-Emption Signal project. Member Hoffman confirmed that adequate funds were available in the active TIP to add all these new costs. Administrator Lamberton noted that as these projects were included in the approved SVMPO Work Program they could move forward as an Administrative Amendment but that she anticipating bringing these TIP changes to the SVMPO Board on Sept. 19<sup>th</sup>.

Chair McLachlan called for a motion to include the new funding amounts for projects in the current TIP and Vice-Chair Watkins so moved. Member Flissar seconded and the motion carried unanimously.

**MOTION:** Vice-Chair Watkins **SECOND:** Member Flissar

**ACTION: PASSED UNANIMOUSLY 8/o** 

#### 9. BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

Administrator Lamberton stated that the Bicycle & Pedestrian Advisory Committee, hereafter BPAC, has not been functioning as effectively as was envisioned when originally developed. After discussion with BPAC members, and potential new members (from Cochise County Health and Social Services and the Sierra Vista School District), the Administrator strongly recommended to

the TAC that the BPAC operating structure and membership be reconfigured to improve its functionality. She indicated that the BPAC members were interested in continuing but in order for the SVMPO to be able to move that advisory committee forward it needed to be easily expanded, have provisions in place to remove non-participating members and focus the members on specific action tasks rather than be an informational group.

TAC members noted that they also experience the same sort of difficulties with citizen committees. However, TAC members also felt strongly that these citizen committees provide valuable feedback that is desired for improving our communication with the groups interested in the bicycling and walking issues. Administrator Lamberton presented to the TAC her ideas for possible revisions to the underlying operating structure to the BPAC for TAC consideration. Member Williams noted that he would delete the use of the work "typically" from the revised special committee guidance; and also advised that the Administrator should check to see if this committee is allowed, under Open Meeting Law, to not include vacancies in the count for a quorum. The TAC discussed that some groups (such as an HOA) may have very low quorum counts but others (like elected Boards) may not exclude vacant seats. The Administrator stated that she would follow up with legal counsel and be sure what is allowed before making that change in the committee structure.

A brief discussion was had about the difference between advocacy groups, like the Cochise County Bicycle Advocates (CBA), and a formal structured committee like the BPAC. The primary problem with co-opting the CBA group as the "regional bicycling committee" is it would unfairly limit the CBA member's ability to talk with elected officials about their recommendations. A formal advisory committee is within the formal structure for making recommendations to elected officials, in particular on funding decisions, and it is groups like the CBA that then can advocate for or against those recommendations.

Chair McLachlan asked if a motion was needed. Administrator Lamberton stated she was seeking general guidance from the TAC if they supported the restructuring of this BPAC committee. The TAC indicated that she had that support and TAC members from the County and the City offered their own support to the activities of the BPAC group. Chair McLachlan stated he felt this advisory committee could play an important role in the upcoming Long-Range Transportation Plan but that the group should have the flexibility to not be forced to meet if there were not activities for the BPAC members to work specifically on.

This was a discussion item: No action was taken on this item. Direction was given to the SVMPO Administrator to take a restructuring recommendation to the Executive Board.

#### INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/DIRECTION TO SVMPO ADMINISTRATOR MAY BE GIVEN

#### 10. SOUTHCENTRAL DISTRICT REPORT

Rossio Aravjo, ADOT Engineer, provided an ADOT SouthCentral District Report to the TAC. Bridge Projects on the SR82 and Canyon Bridges are scheduled for March 2022. Signal Control phase work is going on now and work up in the Benson Interstate frontage roads are planned for November 2020, and in the San Pedro river area, for 2021.

The TAC asked about signal changes, specifically at the SR92/Avenida Cochise intersection where the lights have changed to a leading left instead of a lagging left. This occurred last week and the

City Engineers, Member Flissar and Member Luo, asked if ADOT could get out a press release about the change in signal timing.

Mr. Henige invited TAC members to the next Every Day Counts stakeholder group on Sept. 12<sup>th</sup> at 10 a.m. in the MAG offices. Topics will be related to Utility Clearances, ADA inventory by the Pre-Design Group and Pedestrian Safety. A discussion is underway at ADOT about Local Agency projects related to a pending state-wide communication guidance. Of specific concern is who is actually doing outreach during the construction phase and ensuring that the LPA's partnership with ADOT adequately budgets for the construction phase outreach.

Member Hoffman, stated that the next State Transportation Board is on Sept. 20<sup>th</sup>, and that the next year's five year program is being finalized.

This is an information item: No action was taken on this item.

#### 11. JURISDICTION PROJECT UPDATES & ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Town of Huachuca City Manager reported that the Town was now in the black, and that the Town had made huge progress in dealing with their fiscal situation.

Members Flissar and Luo had attended the AZ Public Works Association conference. They indicated that this had been valuable to them, mentioning specifically a session on leveraging infrastructure and economic development.

This was an information item: No action was taken on this item.

#### 12. UPCOMING SCHEDULED MEETINGS and ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

Given the time, the Administrator cut short the Administrator report and provided the TAC with the following highlights:

- She would be out of the office quite a bit related to conferences scheduled in September and October;
- ➤ The SVMPO had been audited last week for compliance with the Joint Project Agreement with ADOT and she would send the TAC those results when received sometime later this month; and,
- Advised that the SVMPO Intern, Cat Porter was returning back to school this week. The TAC thanked Ms. Porter for her service to the region and wished her luck with her educational pursuits.

#### 13. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

The last TAC meeting for the calendar year is scheduled for **November 5<sup>th</sup> at 10:30 a.m**. Items expected to come forward on Match/In-Kind and revised Agreement with the SVMPO's Fiscal Agent/City of Sierra Vista. Mr. Henige asked for the SVMPO jurisdictions to transmit to his office issues and successes related to federal aid funds.

#### 14. ADJOURNMENT

Chair McLachlan adjourned the meeting at 12:25 p.m.

#### SVMPO STBG Ledger FY 2020-2024 11/05/2019

#### **SVMPO Administrator Revisions**

#### For Discussion Purposes Only

	А	ВС	D	Е	F G
2	SVMPO STBG Ledger Projected Fed Funds				Cumulative Balanc
				<u>-                                      </u>	
3	Action	OA Rate	Apportionment	OA	Apportionment
	FY 2019 Balance				\$20,326
31				<b>.</b>	
	FY 2020 Allocation	94.9% *	\$398,555	\$378,229	\$418,881
	Work Program OA Transfer		\$0	-\$12,806	\$418,881
	Repayment in from SEAGO		\$200,000	\$200,000	\$618,881
	Repayment in from CYMPO		\$10,000	\$10,000	\$628,881
	Repayment in from ADOT		\$2,021,056	\$2,021,056	\$2,649,937
	North Garden Ave/Fry Blvd Design		-\$55,000	-\$55,000	\$2,594,937
	Transfer to Work Program: Hydrology Study	· •	-\$50,000	-\$50,000	\$2,544,937
	Transfer to Work Program: LIDAR Flight		-\$120,000	-\$120,000	\$2,424,937
40	Huachuca City Pre-Emption Signal		-\$100,000	-\$100,000	\$2,324,937
41	Loan to MPO/ADOT Pending		-\$2,241,479	-\$2,241,479	\$83,458
43	FY 2020 Balance				\$83,458
44					
45	FY 2021 Allocation	94.9% *	\$398,555	\$378,229	\$482,013
46	Work Program OA Transfer		\$0	-\$12,806	\$482,013
	Repayment in from SEAGO		\$0	\$0	\$482,013
48	Repayment from MPO/ADOT Pending		\$2,241,479	\$2,241,479	\$2,723,492
	North Garden Ave/Fry Blvd Phase 1		-\$77,000	-\$77,000	\$2,646,492
50	North Garden Ave/Fry Blvd Phase 1		-\$2,539,284	-\$2,539,284	\$107,208
52	FY 2021 Balance				\$107,208
53					
54	FY 2022 Allocation	94.9% *	\$398,555	\$378,229	\$505,763
	Repayment in from SEAGO		\$425,000	\$425,000	\$930,763
57	FY 2022 Balance				\$930,763
58					<del>\</del>
59	FY 2023 Allocation	94.9% *	\$398,555	\$378,229	\$1,329,318
60			, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	+ //-
62	FY 2023 Balance				\$1,329,318
63	1 1 2020 Dalance				ψ1,323,310
-	FY 2024 Allocation	94.9% *	\$398,555	\$378,229	\$1,727,873
65	T LOLT AIIOGUOTI	04.070	φοσο,σοσ	ψον ο,220	Ψ1,727,070
••	EV 2024 Balanco				\$1 727 972
67 68	FY 2024 Balance				\$1,727,873
69					
-	* OA Rate subject to change	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
71	OA = Obligation Authority. Percentage of apporti	onments that	is available for roimbu	reahla avnoncos	
	STBG = Surface Transportation Block Grant fu			•	on the 2010 Consus
	Cumulative Balances = Any apportionments o				
74	Camalative balances – Any appointionnelles of	. OA baiaille	. 15 added to the next	anocation for p	ogramming.
75					
76					
77					
78					
, 0					

#### SVMPO STBG Ledger FY 2020-2024 11/05/2019

#### **SVMPO Administrator Revisions**

For Discussion Purposes Only

1       2       e         3       OA         30       \$(31)         32       \$378,223         33       \$365,423         34       \$565,423         35       \$575,423         36       \$2,596,473         37       \$2,541,473         38       \$2,491,473         40       \$2,271,473         41       \$30,000         44       \$395,423         46       \$395,423         47       \$395,423         48       \$2,636,90         49       \$2,559,90         50       \$20,617         51       \$823,840         55       \$823,840         56       \$1,202,073         60       \$1,580,303         65       \$1,580,303         65       \$1,580,303         68       \$1,580,303         69       70         71       72         73       74         75       76         77       78		Н
3 OA  30 \$1  31 32 \$378,229  33 \$365,429  34 \$565,429  35 \$575,429  36 \$2,596,479  37 \$2,541,479  38 \$2,491,479  40 \$2,271,479  41 \$30,000  43 \$30,000  44 4  45 \$408,229  46 \$395,429  47 \$395,429  48 \$2,636,909  49 \$2,559,909  50 \$20,619  52 \$20,619  53 \$398,849  55 \$823,849  55 \$823,849  56 \$1,202,079  60 \$1,580,309  61 \$1,580,309  62 \$1,202,079  63 64 \$1,580,309  65 67 \$1,580,309  66 67 \$1,580,309  67 70 71  72 73 74 75 76 77	1	
30 \$1 31 32 \$378,229 33 \$365,423 34 \$565,423 35 \$575,423 36 \$2,596,479 37 \$2,541,479 38 \$2,491,479 40 \$2,271,479 41 \$30,000 43 \$30,000 44  45 \$408,229 46 \$395,429 47 \$395,429 48 \$2,636,909 49 \$2,559,909 50 \$20,619 52 \$20,619 53 \$398,840 55 \$823,840 55 \$823,840 56 \$1,202,079 60 62 \$1,202,079 63 64 \$1,580,309 65 67 \$1,580,309 668 69 70 71 72 73 73 74 75 76 77	2	е
30 \$1 31 32 \$378,229 33 \$365,423 34 \$565,423 35 \$575,423 36 \$2,596,479 37 \$2,541,479 38 \$2,491,479 40 \$2,271,479 41 \$30,000 43 \$30,000 44  45 \$408,229 46 \$395,429 47 \$395,429 48 \$2,636,909 49 \$2,559,909 50 \$20,619 52 \$20,619 53 \$398,840 55 \$823,840 55 \$823,840 56 \$1,202,079 60 62 \$1,202,079 63 64 \$1,580,309 65 67 \$1,580,309 668 69 70 71 72 73 73 74 75 76 77		
31   32   \$378,229   33   \$365,423   34   \$565,423   35   \$575,423   36   \$2,596,479   37   \$2,541,479   39   \$2,371,479   40   \$2,271,479   41   \$30,000   44   45   \$408,229   46   \$395,422   47   \$395,422   48   \$2,636,900   49   \$2,559,900   50   \$20,610   52   \$20,610   52   \$20,610   53   54   \$398,849   55   \$823,849   55   \$823,849   57   \$823,849   59   \$1,202,079   60   62   \$1,202,079   60   62   \$1,580,300   65   67   \$1,580,300   66   67   \$70   71   72   73   74   75   76   77	3	OA
32 \$378,229 33 \$365,423 34 \$565,423 35 \$575,423 36 \$2,596,479 37 \$2,541,479 38 \$2,491,479 40 \$2,271,479 41 \$30,000 44 45 \$408,229 46 \$395,429 47 \$395,429 48 \$2,636,900 49 \$2,559,900 50 \$20,611 52 \$20,611 53 \$398,840 55 \$823,840 55 \$823,840 56 \$1,202,079 60 \$1,580,303 66 \$69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77	30	\$(
33 \$365,423 34 \$565,423 35 \$575,423 36 \$2,596,473 37 \$2,541,473 38 \$2,491,473 39 \$2,371,473 40 \$2,271,473 41 \$30,000 43 \$30,000 44  45 \$408,223 46 \$395,423 47 \$395,423 48 \$2,636,900 49 \$2,559,900 50 \$20,611 52 \$20,611 53 54 \$398,840 57 \$823,840 57 \$823,840 57 \$823,840 58 59 \$1,202,073 60 62 \$1,580,303 64 \$1,580,303 65 67 \$1,580,303 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77	31	
34 \$565,42: 35 \$575,42: 36 \$2,596,47: 37 \$2,541,47: 38 \$2,491,47: 40 \$2,271,47: 41 \$30,000 44  45 \$408,22: 46 \$395,42: 47 \$395,42: 48 \$2,636,90: 49 \$2,559,90: 50 \$20,61: 53 54 \$398,84: 57 \$823,84: 57 \$823,84: 58 59 \$1,202,07: 60 62 \$1,202,07: 63 64 \$1,580,30: 65 67 \$1,580,30: 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77	32	
35 \$575,42: 36 \$2,596,479 37 \$2,541,479 38 \$2,491,479 39 \$2,371,479 40 \$2,271,479 41 \$30,000 43 \$30,000 44  45 \$408,229 46 \$395,429 47 \$395,429 48 \$2,636,909 49 \$2,559,909 50 \$20,619 52 \$20,619 53 \$398,849 55 \$823,849 55 \$823,849 56 \$1,202,079 60 \$62 \$1,202,079 63 64 \$1,580,309 65 67 \$1,580,309 668 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77	33	\$365,423
36 \$2,596,479 37 \$2,541,479 38 \$2,491,479 39 \$2,371,479 40 \$2,271,479 41 \$30,000 43 \$30,000 44  45 \$408,229 46 \$395,429 47 \$395,429 48 \$2,636,909 49 \$2,559,909 50 \$20,619 52 \$20,619 53 \$398,840 55 \$823,840 57 \$823,840 58 \$1,202,079 60 62 \$1,202,079 60 62 \$1,202,079 60 65 67 \$1,580,309 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77	34	\$565,423
37 \$2,541,479 38 \$2,491,479 39 \$2,371,479 40 \$2,271,479 41 \$30,000 43 \$30,000 44  45 \$408,229 46 \$395,429 47 \$395,429 48 \$2,636,90 49 \$2,559,90 50 \$20,61 52 \$20,61 53  54 \$398,840 55 \$823,840 57 \$823,840 58  59 \$1,202,079 60  62 \$1,580,300 63  64 \$1,580,300 65  67 \$1,580,300 68  69  70  71  72  73  74  75  76  77		
38 \$2,491,479 39 \$2,371,479 40 \$2,271,479 41 \$30,000 44 45 \$408,229 46 \$395,429 47 \$395,429 48 \$2,636,900 49 \$2,559,900 50 \$20,611 52 \$20,611 53 \$398,840 55 \$823,840 57 \$823,840 58 59 \$1,202,079 60 62 \$1,580,300 65 67 \$1,580,300 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77		\$2,596,479
39 \$2,371,479 40 \$2,271,479 41 \$30,000 43 \$30,000 44 45 \$408,229 46 \$395,429 47 \$395,429 48 \$2,636,900 49 \$2,559,900 50 \$20,611 52 \$20,611 53 54 \$398,840 57 \$823,840 57 \$823,840 58 59 \$1,202,079 60 62 \$1,580,300 65 67 \$1,580,300 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77		\$2,541,479
40 \$2,271,479 41 \$30,000 44  45 \$408,229 46 \$395,429 47 \$395,429 48 \$2,636,900 49 \$2,559,900 50 \$20,610 52 \$20,610 53  54 \$398,840 55 \$823,840 57 \$823,840 58  59 \$1,202,079 60  62 \$1,202,079 63  64 \$1,580,300 65  67 \$1,580,300 68  69  70  71  72  73  74  75  76  77	38	\$2,491,479
41 \$30,000 44  45 \$408,223 46 \$395,423 47 \$395,423 48 \$2,636,903 49 \$2,559,903 50 \$20,613 53 \$20,613 53 \$823,844 55 \$823,844 57 \$823,844 58 \$59 \$1,202,073 60 \$62 \$1,202,073 63 \$64 \$1,580,303 65 \$67 \$1,580,303 668 \$69 \$70 \$71 \$72 \$73 \$74 \$75 \$76 \$77		\$2,371,479
43 \$30,000 44 45 \$408,223 46 \$395,423 47 \$395,423 48 \$2,636,90 49 \$2,559,90 50 \$20,61 53 54 \$398,840 55 \$823,840 55 \$823,840 56 \$1,202,073 60 62 \$1,202,073 63 64 \$1,580,303 65 67 \$1,580,303 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77		
44	41	\$30,000
44	43	\$30.00
45 \$408,226 46 \$395,426 47 \$395,426 48 \$2,636,906 49 \$2,559,90 50 \$20,616 52 \$20,616 53 \$398,846 55 \$823,846 57 \$823,846 58 \$1,202,076 60 \$1,580,306 62 \$1,580,306 65 67 \$1,580,306 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77		,,,,,,
46 \$395,42: 47 \$395,42: 48 \$2,636,90: 49 \$2,559,90: 50 \$20,61: 53 \$24 \$398,84: 55 \$823,84: 57 \$823,84: 58 \$59 \$1,202,07: 60 \$62 \$1,202,07: 63 \$65 \$67 \$1,580,30: 65 \$67 \$70 71 \$72 \$73 \$74 \$75 \$76 \$77		\$408,228
47 \$395,42: 48 \$2,636,90: 49 \$2,559,90: 50 \$20,61: 52 \$20,61: 53 \$54 \$398,840: 55 \$823,840: 57 \$823,840: 58 \$59 \$1,202,079: 60 \$62 \$1,202,079: 63 \$64 \$1,580,300: 65 \$67 \$1,580,300: 68 \$69 \$70 \$71 \$72 \$73 \$74 \$75 \$76 \$77	46	\$395,422
48 \$2,636,90' 49 \$2,559,90' 50 \$20,61' 53 54 \$398,846' 55 \$823,846' 57 \$823,846' 58 59 \$1,202,075' 60 62 \$1,580,305' 65 67 \$1,580,305' 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77	47	\$395,422
49 \$2,559,90° 50 \$20,61° 52 \$20,61° 53 \$398,840° 55 \$823,840° 57 \$823,840° 58 \$1,202,070° 60 \$1,580,300° 65 67 \$1,580,300° 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77	48	\$2,636,90
\$20,61° 52 \$20,61° 53 \$398,840° 55 \$823,840° 57 \$823,840° 58 \$1,202,070° 60 \$1,580,300° 62 \$1,580,300° 63 \$65 \$67 \$1,580,300° 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77	49	\$2,559,90
\$20,61° 53 \$398,846 \$55 \$823,846 57 \$823,846 58 59 \$1,202,076 62 \$1,580,306 63 64 \$1,580,306 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77	50	\$20,61
53 \$398,840 55 \$823,840 57 \$823,840 58 \$1,202,079 60 \$1,202,079 63 \$1,580,300 65 \$67 \$1,580,300 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77	52	
\$823,846  57 \$823,846  58 \$1,202,075  60 \$1,202,075  63 \$1,580,305  65 \$1,580,305  68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77		, ,
\$823,846  57 \$823,846  58 \$1,202,075  60 \$1,202,075  63 \$1,580,305  65 \$1,580,305  68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77	54	\$398,840
\$7 \$823,846  58 \$1,202,075  60 \$1,202,075  63 \$1,580,305  65 \$67 \$1,580,305  68 69 70 71  72 73 74 75 76 77		\$823,840
58   \$1,202,078   60   62   \$1,202,078   63   64   \$1,580,303   65   67   \$1,580,303   68   69   70   71   72   73   74   75   76   77		\$923 QA
\$1,202,078 60 62 \$1,202,078 63 64 \$1,580,303 65 67 \$1,580,303 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77		φ023,041
60 \$1,202,073 63 \$1,580,303 65 \$67 \$1,580,303 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77		\$1 202 074
\$1,202,073 63 64 \$1,580,303 65 67 \$1,580,303 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77		Ψ1,202,07
63 \$1,580,300 65 \$1,580,300 68 \$1,580,300 70 \$71 \$72 \$73 \$74 \$75 \$76 \$77		£4 000 0=
64 \$1,580,303 65 67 <b>\$1,580,30</b> 3 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77		\$1,202,07
65 \$1,580,300 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77		<b>#4 F00 00</b>
\$1,580,303 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76		\$1,580,30
68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76	05	
69		\$1,580,30
70 71 72 73 74 75 76		
71 72 73 74 75 76		
72 73 74 75 76 77	70	
73 74 75 76 77		
74 75 76 77		
75 76 77		
76 77	_	
77	- i	
78	76	
/8	77	
	78	



# Sierra Vista Metropolitan Planning Organization Memorandum

To: SVMPO Executive Board

From: Karen L. Lamberton, AICP, SVMPO Administrator

Date: November 5, 2019

**Subject:** 2020-2024 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

On September 19, 2019 the SVMPO Executive Board voted to approve the recommendations of the TAC to take the following actions:

- 1. Increase funding for the North Garden/Fry Blvd design project for an additional \$50,000 in design and \$70,000 for the construction phase to fully fund the approved design scope of work and cover the post-design engineering services. This is a HURF Exchange project and an additional 10% over the amount requested to cover the ADOT exchange rate from STBG has been identified in the revised TIP in the amounts of \$55,000 in FY20; \$77,000 in FY21.
- 2. Participate in funding the Sierra Vista's Hydrology study for the Avendia Esculea North Extension project with a contribution of \$50,000 towards the Hydrology/Floodplain Study for this project.
- 3. Participate in funding the Cochise County a LIDAR flight in the amount of \$120,000.

The SVMPO Executive Board also supported holding a \$30,000 buffer for the Town of Huachuca City's Emergency Pre-Emption Signal project. Currently this HURF Exchange project has a budget of \$90,000. The consultant (Rick Engineering Company) engineering estimate for the final bid ready design was \$100,000. This project is out to bid at this time and bid proposals are due on November 15<sup>th</sup> at 4 p.m.

The Administrator advises the TAC that she will work with the Town of Huachuca City to administratively add, if needed, additional funds to the Town of Huachuca City Emergency Preemption project.

The TAC will present current status on active TIP projects and will discuss any actions that need to be taken by the SVMPO to continue moving these projects forward.

- 1. Adaptive Signal Project (HSIP)
- 2. North Garden/Fry Blvd. Design Project (HURF Exchange)
- 3. Emergency Pre-Emption Signal Project (HURF Exchange)
- 4. Avenida Esculea Extension Hydrology project (STBG contribution through Work Program)
- 5. LIDAR Flight (STBG contribution through Work Program)
- 6. Transit Projects (FTA)

#### Attachments:

Action Requested: Motion, if needed, to amend the 2020-2024 TIP projects or funding.

SVMPO Board Mtg. 11-05-2019 Agenda Item 6



## Sierra Vista Metropolitan Planning Organization Memorandum

To: SVMPO Executive Board

From: Karen L. Lamberton, AICP, SVMPO Administrator

Date: November 5, 2019

**Subject:** 2020 TAC and Board Meeting Schedule

After reviewing deliverables for the SVMPO for next calendar year the following meeting dates are suggested:

BPAC	TAC	Executive Board
Jan 28 <sup>th</sup>	Jan. 7 or 14 <sup>th</sup>	Jan. 16 <sup>th</sup> or 23 <sup>rd</sup>
March 31st	March 3 <sup>rd</sup> or 10 <sup>th</sup>	March 19 <sup>th</sup>
May 19 <sup>th</sup>	May 5 <sup>th</sup> or 12 <sup>th</sup>	May 21 <sup>st</sup>
July 28 <sup>th</sup>	June 2 <sup>nd</sup> or 9 <sup>th</sup>	June 18 <sup>th</sup>
Sept. 29 <sup>th</sup>	Sept. 1 <sup>st</sup> or 8 <sup>th</sup>	Sept. 17 <sup>th</sup>
Nov. 17 <sup>th</sup>	Nov. 3 <sup>rd</sup> or 10 <sup>th</sup>	Nov. 19 <sup>th</sup>

The TAC previously suggested that Tuesday appeared to be a good day and time for regular meetings. Tuesday mornings may conflict with Cochise County Board meetings and may also conflict with Sierra Vista Directors meetings. We have been scheduling TAC meetings at 10 a.m. to 12 noon. Alternatively, a 1:00 to 3:00 timeframe is suggested. The Administrator seeks guidance on a desired timeframe for meetings.

The Administrator seeks guidance if these dates conflict with any known conferences that a majority of the TAC membership needs to attend.

The TAC is also advised that technical and public outreach meetings related to the pending Long-Range Transportation Plan update will also occur in 2020.

The Executive Board meetings are tentatively set from 3:00 to 4:30 p.m.

#### Attachments:

Action Requested: The TAC is asked to select the best set of dates, agree on a tentative meeting time and make a motion to approve the TAC meeting schedule for 2020.

SVMPO TAC Mtg. 11-05-2019 Agenda Item 7



## Sierra Vista Metropolitan Planning Organization Memorandum

To:	SVMPO Executive Board

From: Karen L. Lamberton, AICP, SVMPO Administrator

Date: November 5, 2019

**Subject:** Jurisdiction Traffic Count Programs

One of the activities that the SVMPO coordinates is the collection and reporting of traffic count data. As ADOT has taken over the HPMS reporting, having updated and accurate traffic counts on the federally functionally classified roads helps to ensure the quality of these reports to FHWA.

This data is available through ADOT's Transportation Demand Management System through the MS2 software on-line. The SVMPO traffic count data is available through an active link on the SVMPO website:

#### https://svmpo.ms2soft.com/tcds/tsearch.asp?loc=Svmpo&mod=

The SVMPO is working with Works Consulting and ADOT to update traffic count data entry locations to reflect the expanded SVMPO boundaries. (Currently, some traffic count data is still showing up on SEAGO's link rather than within the new SVMPO boundaries).

The Administrator asks the jurisdictions to share who their staff leads are for traffic count collection and reporting, information about their traffic count programs (if they exist) and what the TAC 's needs are for training or data related to traffic counts.

Attachments:	

**Action Requested:** 

### SIERRA VISTA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION 401 Giulio Cesare Ave, Sierra Vista, Az 85635-2411

Administrator's Report/TAC Meeting: November 5, 2019

#### Pending By-Laws and Agreement with Sierra Vista Revisions

The SVMPO Executive Board is working with their member jurisdictions management staff to potentially make changes to the SVMPO By-Laws related to Board Membership composition and Match requirements. Sierra Vista's City Manager's office has drafted changes to the Agreement with the MPO related to hosting and other services provided to the MPO for the Board consideration in a pending (not yet scheduled) Work Session.

#### Work Program and Annual Budget Administrative Amendment

The FY20 & FY21 Unified Work Program and Budget was approved by Federal Highway Administration on June 27, 2019. At the close of the Fiscal Year, the carry forward amounts were adjusted from projected to actual dollars and brought forward as an Administrative Amendment on August 16, 2019. This Administrative Amendment was approved on August 20, 2019.

Final budget, with actual carry forwards and FY20 fund awards is \$630,387.99. Match amounts reflect a higher percentage of PL funds, with lower match amounts, being carried over instead of SPR funds, with higher match amounts. Total match now needed for FY20 is \$81,493.63. The SVMPO is following up on the potential that in-kind match for the FTA funds may be allowed to be carried forward: if this is the case then the SVMPO has sufficient FY19 carry forward in-kind match funds to fully cover the FTA fund in-kind match requirement.

FY2020 REVENUES: AUGUST 2019								
REVENUE SOURCE	AP	APPORTIONMENT		OA		IN-KIND MATCH		BUDGET
PL/ FY19 (Carry Forward)	\$	167,923.77	\$	167,923.77	\$	10,150.22	\$	178,073.99
SPR / FY19 (Carry Forward)	\$	56,990.39	\$	56,990.39	\$	14,247.60	\$	71,237.99
FTA/FY18 (Carry Forward)	\$	43,233.20	\$	43,233.20	\$	10,808.30	\$	54,041.50
PL / FY20	\$	126,081.00	\$	126,081.00	\$	7,621.01	\$	133,702.01
SPR / FY20	\$	125,000.00	\$	125,000.00	\$	31,250.00	\$	156,250.00
FTA 5305 FY19	\$	29,666.00	\$	29,666.00	\$	7,416.50	\$	37,082.50
TOTAL	\$	548,894.36	\$	548,894.36	\$	81,493.63	\$	630,387.99

#### FY20 In-Kind Match Forms

Jurisdiction staff have been asked to provide FY20 In-Kind match amounts. These forms may be submitted monthly but no less than quarterly is requested. A substantively higher match amount is needed this year than in previous years and staff will need to be proactive at tracking and reporting in-kind match in FY20. The SVMPO Board is considering changing their reliance entirely on in-kind match amounts but no decision has been made regarding this to date.

#### **SVMPO On-Call Request for Proposals**

In an effort to streamline the requirements of federal, state and the SVMPO Fiscal Agent for procurement, an On-Call Request for Proposals was developed to cover most of the potential activities in the approved Work Program. Once this list is developed On-Call consultants will be solicited for specific cost proposals related to approved tasks in the Work Program. This RFP was released but, unfortunately, was challenged by the American Council of Engineers, Arizona's Chapter, citing to state law on procurement for professional services. This interpretation is not held, at this time, by ADOT's Multi-Modal division. The SVMPO Administrator is working with FHWA, FTA, ADOT and the Sierra Vista Procurement and legal staff to resolve the conflicting guidance. It is hoped to re-issue the Solicitation shortly; however, this will likely delay contracting until early next year.

### SIERRA VISTA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION 401 Giulio Cesare Ave, Sierra Vista, Az 85635-2411

#### **SVMPO Staff Changes**

Mr. Dennis Donovan, P.E., has joined the SVMPO as a part-time employee providing project management and support to the Town of Huachuca City's projects, to the North Garden/Fry Blvd. project and will take lead on handling the traffic count coordination with member jurisdictions. Although his position is temporary (posted as a 6-9 month assignment) we expect the region to benefit from his civil engineering support.

17